*Colloquium Area "The Role of Translation in Language and Literature Education"*

# RETHINKING TRANSLATION IN LANGUAGE CLASSROOMS



**Cristina Mirela NICOLAESCU**, Professor, PhD
(Yozgat Bozok University, Republic of Türkiye)

chris2013x@yahoo.com,
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5621-7354

Received: January 8th, 2026 | Reviewed: January 8th, 2026 | Accepted: January 9th, 2026
UDC 81.19 | https://doi.org/10.62413/cqm.2026.39

**Abstract**

*This paper explores the multifaceted role of translation in language education, arguing that it has historically functioned not only as a pedagogical tool but also as a critical intellectual practice. It highlights how translation fosters linguistic competence, intercultural awareness, and critical thinking, central to language learning. By examining both historical perspectives and contemporary classroom practices, the study integrates insights from applied linguistics (and translation studies to reassess translation's educational significance. Through illustrative examples, the paper demonstrates that translation extends beyond mere language transfer, functioning as a complex interpretive activity that bridges languages, cultures, and epistemological frameworks.*

**Keywords:** *translation pedagogy, language learning, intercultural competence, applied linguistics, translation studies, creative transposition, pedagogical applications, critical thinking, critical pedagogy, accuracy*

## Introduction

In language instruction, translation has long held a contentious and frequently misinterpreted position. It has historically been linked to grammar-translation techniques, but in contemporary communicative approaches, it has occasionally been written off as a mechanical or secondary activity that is more beneficial for strengthening vocabulary or grammatical structures than for developing true communicative competence. This view has led to the marginalization of translation in many modern curricula, especially where oral communication, fluency, and spontaneous language use are valued. Because of this, learners have less opportunities to practice reflective and interpretative language because the rich cognitive, cultural, and analytical potential of translation has often been disregarded.

However, this limited perspective is contested by contemporary research. As a sophisticated cognitive, interpretive, and intercultural tool that allows students to interact deeply with language structures, meaning, and the social and cultural

contexts in which language functions, translation is becoming more widely acknowledged as much more than a technical or auxiliary exercise (Cook, 2010; Cronin, 2003). By requiring students to make complex choices about syntax, semantics, pragmatics, and stylistic appropriateness, translation fosters higher-order cognitive abilities and metalinguistic awareness. In order to develop analytical reasoning that goes well beyond simply translating words, learners must balance alternative lexical choices, take connotative meaning into account, and traverse context-dependent language aspects.

Translation also plays a critical role in fostering intercultural understanding. Students are taught to critically examine not just the source and target languages but also the values, presumptions, and worldviews ingrained in each by negotiating meaning across various cultural and epistemological contexts. Engaging with texts from many cultural settings fosters cultural sensitivity and the capacity to mediate meaning across linguistic and cultural boundaries by exposing students to foreign rhetorical practices, social norms, and communicative expectations (Pym, 2010; Venuti, 2012). In this way, translation is a prime example of Roman Jakobson's idea of "creative transposition," which is the active reconfiguration of meaning across languages. According to Jakobson (2000 [1959]; Cook, 2010), translation is not just word-for-word conversion but rather a cognitive and creative process that involves problem-solving, interpretation, and adaptation.

By reevaluating translation as a complex interpretive enterprise rather than a rote teaching technique, this study highlights the potential of translation to enhance contemporary language schools. By serving as a bridge between critical, reflective learning and language proficiency, translation can assist students in developing a more profound and sophisticated understanding of language, culture, and communication. Additionally, when translation is carefully included into language training, students can engage on projects that are simultaneously analytical, creative, and culturally aware. Examples of such assignments include cooperative translation projects, intercultural mediation, reflective diaries, and comparative translation. These tasks help students develop problem-solving autonomy, explain and defend language choices, and take cultural and pragmatic implications into account.

Translation is ultimately positioned as a key element of language instruction when it is framed as an active, reflexive, and cross-cultural process. Translation becomes a dynamic tool for developing linguistic agility, critical thinking, and intercultural competence rather than a peripheral or antiquated exercise, preparing students not only to use language effectively but also to navigate the intricate social and cultural contexts in which communication takes place. Teachers can improve classroom engagement, generate globally competent language learners who can perceive and create meaning in a variety of linguistic and cultural situations, and improve curriculum design by reevaluating the role of translation.

**Historical Context and Pedagogical Traditions**

Modern viewpoints acknowledge translation's wider cognitive, linguistic, and cultural advantages even if it was essential to old grammar-translation techniques

(Cook, 2010). Grammar-translation, which emphasizes faithfulness to source texts, explicit grammatical knowledge, and correct vocabulary rendering, has historically dominated European and colonial language training. Although this approach has frequently been criticized for encouraging memorization and passive language learning, it also fostered analytical abilities, linguistic attention to detail, and the capacity to recognize minute semantic differences. Translation exercises in these traditional contexts were essentially early types of metalinguistic instruction, preparing students to interact with language in an analytical and reflective manner (Koskinen, 2008).

Translation was weakly represented in many curricula when communicative language instruction gained popularity in the middle of the 20th century and the focus turned to fluency, oral contact, and real-world communication. Translation was often seen as a holdover from antiquated education, unrelated to the objectives of modern language acquisition. This viewpoint, however, disregarded translation's capacity to foster cognitive flexibility, problem-solving ability, and cultural sensitivity—skills crucial for intercultural communication as well as language proficiency (Cronin, 2003; Pym, 2010).

Recent research has further highlighted the educational value of translation in modern contexts. Kelly (2005) and Schäffner (2004) claim that translation exercises assist students in recognizing linguistic patterns, understanding semantic connections, and critically analyzing linguistic and cultural distinctions. Students are forced to think about both grammatical equivalency and pragmatic appropriateness when translating idiomatic expressions or culturally specific notions, for example, which promotes a deeper understanding of the relationship between language and culture. Additionally, translation tasks can serve as cognitive scaffolding, encouraging analytical and creative thinking while assisting students in consolidating information from speaking, writing, and reading assignments. Teachers can use translation as a tool for language and cultural development, bridging conventional and modern instructional approaches, by reestablishing the practice's historical roots while highlighting its current significance.

**Theoretical Framework**

This study positions translation as a versatile instrument in language learning by utilizing theoretical frameworks from applied linguistics and translation studies. Translation is becoming more widely acknowledged in practical linguistics as a way to promote metalinguistic awareness, interlingual competence, and cognitive flexibility (Cook, 2010; Schäffner, 2004). Translation projects encourage deliberate thought on vocabulary, syntax, and linguistic structures by having students compare and analyze source and destination languages. By identifying patterns that could otherwise go unnoticed, this reflective activity improves learners' accuracy and fluency in the target language (García, 2009; Cook, 2010). Additionally, when students navigate semantic, pragmatic, and stylistic obstacles, translation fosters higher-order thinking and problem-solving abilities that are critical for sophisticated language use.

From the standpoint of translation studies, translation is not a neutral transfer of words from one language to another, but rather an interpretive process mediated by society and culture. According to academics like Venuti (2012) and Pym (2010), translation entails negotiating meaning across cultural and epistemological settings, exposing underlying presumptions, beliefs, and worldviews ingrained in language. As a result, translation serves as a lens through which to view the ways in which language encodes knowledge, ideology, and culture. It places students in the perspective of both language users and cultural interpreters, enabling them to interact critically with texts and the larger settings in which they are used.

When taken as a whole, these frameworks highlight the pedagogical and epistemic roles that translation plays in education. In terms of pedagogy, translation improves language skills by encouraging accuracy, lucidity, and stylistic awareness. In terms of epistemology, it fosters critical thinking and intercultural comprehension, empowering students to examine and negotiate meaning in a variety of linguistic and cultural contexts. By incorporating these viewpoints, educators are able to go beyond the conventional understanding of translation as a mechanical task and instead present it as a sophisticated, reflective practice that addresses the cognitive, cultural, and ethical aspects of language learning while bridging applied linguistics and translation studies (Koskinen, 2008; Cronin, 2003).

**Translation as a Cognitive and Intercultural Tool**

By challenging students to negotiate meaning, examine linguistic patterns, and make complex interpretative decisions, translation assignments foster deep cognitive involvement. Translation requires pupils to pay attention to small differences in vocabulary, grammar, discourse, and stylistic register while also taking context, pragmatic appropriateness, and intended communicative effect into account, in contrast to rote exercises or basic vocabulary drills. Learners are challenged to find equivalency not just at the lexical level but also in meaning, function, and total communicative impact when translating idiomatic expressions, culturally bound concepts, or stylistically difficult passages.

In order to develop metalinguistic awareness, higher-order reasoning, and reflective problem-solving abilities, this interpretive process necessitates that students consider several possible answers, foresee potential misunderstandings, and reconcile disparities between source and destination languages. For instance, choosing how to depict a culturally particular metaphor, a humorous phrase, or a socially complex statement requires careful consideration of audience expectations, stylistic tone, sociocultural connotations, and semantic nuances, encouraging both analytical and creative thinking. Thus, translation is an example of Roman Jakobson's idea of "creative transposition"—the intentional reconfiguration of meaning between languages—showing that translation is a dynamic, cognitively demanding process rather than a mechanical one (Jakobson, 2000 [1959]; Cook, 2010).

Additionally, by challenging students to negotiate semantic difficulties, evaluate the suitability of register, and pay attention to stylistic and rhetorical elements inside texts, translation fosters strategic competency. Because students must compare

grammatical structures, idiomatic conventions, and discourse patterns while retaining coherence, communicative intent, and cultural relevance, it also fosters cognitive flexibility. By tackling these complex language and cognitive tasks, students develop accuracy, flexibility, interpretive judgment, and critical awareness—transferable abilities that improve speaking, writing, listening, and reading in a variety of settings. In this way, translation serves as a multidimensional teaching tool that fosters linguistic ability, analytical reasoning, and intercultural competency while linking theoretical understanding and practical application.

Since learners must consider a variety of linguistic and cultural possibilities before arriving at a coherent and contextually acceptable rendition, translation procedures promote metalinguistic awareness, critical thinking, and problem-solving skills (Koskinen, 2008; Kelly, 2005). Pupils gain the ability to recognize possible ambiguities, assess different word choices, and take syntactic and stylistic variance into account. The iterative process of translation, which involves editing, reflecting, and negotiating meaning, fosters cognitive flexibility and pushes students to think critically and creatively about language. Additionally, students gain a deeper comprehension of the mechanics and nuances of the target language by openly interacting with language as a system of options rather than a collection of rules.

Translation is an effective tool for promoting intercultural competency that goes beyond cognitive growth. By interacting with texts from many cultural contexts, students are exposed to other worldviews, social norms, and rhetorical devices, which challenges their preconceptions and expands their cultural viewpoint (Cronin, 2003; Pym, 2010). Deeply ingrained ideological and epistemological divides between the source and target cultures can be exposed by even short translation activities, encouraging students to critically analyze both the text and their own interpretive frameworks. Students must negotiate meaning in methods that strike a balance between integrity to the source and accessibility and cultural relevance for the target audience whether translating culturally bound narratives, persuasive writings, or socially complex discourse. By emphasizing the ethical and cross-cultural aspects of translation, this method positions students as thoughtful intermediaries between languages and cultures (Venuti, 2012; Schäffner, 2004).

Furthermore, studies conducted in applied linguistics after 2000 have shown that translation exercises improve a variety of language abilities. Translation activities increase vocabulary by forcing students to think about semantic and pragmatic counterparts, enhance writing abilities via repeated interaction with syntax and style, and help reading comprehension by promoting close textual analysis (Cook, 2010; García, 2009). Students are given structured opportunities to explain and defend their language and cultural choices through task-based translation activities like collaborative translations, comparative studies, and reflective translation journals. By bridging theoretical knowledge with real-world application, these exercises enable students to meaningfully integrate cognitive, linguistic, and intercultural competencies.

Teachers can develop learning experiences that promote critical awareness, cultural literacy, and cognitive agility by including translation in this way. Transla-

tion grows into a dynamic teaching tool that simultaneously fosters language proficiency, interpretative skill, and intercultural competency, surpassing its status as a classroom activity. This dual function highlights translation's importance as a key element of modern language instruction by illuminating the connections between cognition, communication, and culture.

**Pedagogical Applications**

In order to enhance communicative, task-based, and project-oriented methods, translation can be carefully incorporated into contemporary language classes. Translation can be planned as a participatory, reflective, and culturally aware activity that concurrently fosters linguistic proficiency, critical thinking, and intercultural awareness—far from being a solely mechanical or auxiliary exercise (Cook, 2010; García, 2009). Translation becomes a dynamic tool for both cognitive and cultural development when it is carefully incorporated into classroom practice, encouraging students to interact with language on a variety of levels, including syntactic, semantic, pragmatic, and cultural. Teachers can transcend conventional "grammar-translation" paradigms by placing translation inside significant communicative and social settings, allowing students to engage with language as an active medium for interpretation, negotiation, and problem-solving.

Comparative translation exercises, in which students translate a text from the source language into the target language and back again while examining inconsistencies, ambiguities, and stylistic variations, are one useful method (Cook, 2010; Kelly, 2005). By emphasizing the interpretive decisions involved in translation, these activities promote accuracy in vocabulary, grammar, and discourse structure while developing metalinguistic awareness. By evaluating minute variations in meaning, tone, and register, students are forced to develop both linguistic precision and analytical skills. Because students must defend their translation choices and negotiate meaning with peers, comparative translation also fosters group discussion. The idea that language learning is both a cognitive and a collaborative activity is reinforced by this iterative approach, which fosters social and communicative competences in addition to individual cognitive skills. Over time, these tasks help students acquire sophisticated problem-solving skills that go beyond language acquisition by helping them anticipate possible misconceptions, handle ambiguity, and exercise nuanced judgment.

Translation is a potent tool for cultural mediation, allowing students to interact intimately with texts that are rich in context and culture, such as literary passages, journal articles, advertising copy, or multimedia content (Koskinen, 2008; Pym, 2010). Students are pushed to take into account social practices, rhetorical devices, and cultural norms in both source and target settings while negotiating meaning across languages. By pushing students to strike a balance between accessibility and relevance for the target audience and faithfulness to the original text, this reflective approach promotes intercultural awareness. Furthermore, it encourages learners to recognize that language encodes values, assumptions, and worldviews, highlighting the ethical and interpretive responsibilities involved in translation. Students gain critical awareness of their own cultural frameworks as well as those

of others by coming across other viewpoints and unfamiliar cultural structures, which improves their capacity to interact intelligently across linguistic and cultural barriers.

Chances for learning and self-evaluation are offered by reflective translation techniques including keeping translation journals, portfolios, or annotated translations (Schäffner, 2004; Cronin, 2003). Learners participate in intentional metalinguistic reflection by recording their translation techniques, difficulties, and discoveries. This allows them to examine both the textual results and the decision-making processes that led to their decisions. Through journals, students can investigate pragmatic and semantic subtleties, analyze the consequences of stylistic or structural differences, and determine how cultural context affects meaning. Furthermore, they foster learner autonomy, giving students the ability to track and improve their own language, cognitive, and intercultural progress over time. From the standpoint of an educator, these reflecting artifacts offer insight into the students' developing understanding, enabling more effective task scaffolding, feedback customization, and classroom discussion facilitation.

In the language classroom, additional techniques like peer translation evaluations and project-based translation assignments provide effective ways to foster teamwork, creativity, and interpretative judgment. For instance, peer review exercises encourage students to evaluate each other's translations critically and offer helpful criticism, emphasizing aspects like lexical choice, syntactic structures, stylistic variety, and cultural or pragmatic equivalency.

Students are encouraged to discuss the reasoning behind their translational decisions, contrast different strategies, and negotiate meaning as a group through these cooperative activities. Learners hone their analytical skills and improve critical reflection skills by debating why one portrayal could be more accurate, artistically suitable, or culturally sensitive than another. As students watch and absorb the logic and tactics used by their peers, peer review also promotes social learning while fostering the development of interpersonal skills including cooperative problem-solving, polite criticism, and effective communication. This social aspect of translation pedagogy emphasizes that translation is a dynamic, interactive process that benefits from discussion, peer support, and collaborative interpretative work in addition to being an independent cognitive activity (García, 2009; Cronin, 2003).

In contrast, project-based translation activities integrate many language abilities into coherent, learner-centered experiences by placing translation inside relevant, real-world situations. In order to encourage students to focus on reading, writing, speaking, and listening skills at the same time, these projects may entail translating literary texts, journalistic articles, multimedia materials, websites, or informational texts for particular audiences (Cook, 2010; García, 2009). For example, a project can ask students to translate a short narrative while modifying idiomatic phrases, cultural allusions, and style elements for a target audience in a different cultural setting.

Students must assess the semantic, pragmatic, and cultural aspects of the source material and make well-informed decisions about the best ways to com-

municate meaning, which calls for not only language accuracy but also interpretive judgment, creativity, and problem-solving skills. Project-based translation's iterative structure, which includes drafting, editing, and reflecting, reflects professional translation techniques and gives students a hands-on grasp of the decision-making procedures involved in cross-linguistic and cross-cultural mediation. Additionally, these projects prepare students for professional, academic, and cross-cultural communication contexts by exposing them to real-world translational challenges like handling ambiguous or culturally sensitive content, adjusting register for various audiences, and striking a balance between fidelity to the source text and readability and cultural accessibility.

Peer review and project-based translation projects promote higher-order cognitive skills in addition to language proficiency. Learners gain critical thinking, metalinguistic awareness, and problem-solving skills through the analysis and evaluation of alternative translations. Reflection on the interaction of language, culture, and context is prompted by the need to defend interpretive choices, negotiate meaning, and resolve semantic or cultural problems. When students keep translation notebooks or portfolios and record their methods, difficulties, and discoveries along the way, this reflective aspect is strengthened. Journals offer a setting for metacognitive involvement, enabling students to monitor their developing comprehension of both source and target languages, hone their translation skills, and develop independent learning habits (Schäffner, 2004; Cronin, 2003). By bridging the gap between individual learning trajectories and pedagogical support, reflective documentation also helps teachers evaluate students' reasoning processes, pinpoint areas of difficulty, and offer focused feedback.

When incorporated into these participatory, project-based teaching methods, translation provides special chances to foster both linguistic and intercultural competency. Learners must identify and analyze underlying cultural presumptions, rhetorical devices, and worldview differences while interacting with literature from various cultural contexts. For instance, translating narratives, persuasive writings, or media content frequently requires navigating culturally specific ideas, values, and colloquial idioms, which prompts students to think about how meaning is encoded differently in each language. By exposing students to a variety of interpretations and emphasizing the variety of acceptable techniques to cross-cultural communication, peer debates surrounding these translations improve intercultural awareness (Pym, 2010; Venuti, 2012).In addition to encouraging students to develop strategies for mediating meaning in real-world multilingual and multicultural contexts, this active engagement with cultural variation prepares them for globalized communication environments.

The potential of these instructional applications to connect theoretical and practical learning is another significant aspect. Concrete, applied problem-solving is combined with abstract understanding of linguistic structures, discourse aspects, and semantic links in translation projects and peer review exercises. After learning patterns and principles in the classroom, students apply these understandings to real-world communication tasks. By strengthening comprehension and produc-

tion abilities, this experiential learning aids in the internalization of linguistic structures, the expansion of lexical repertoires, and the development of stylistic complexity. Additionally, it fosters flexibility as students come across texts with different levels of complexity, genre, and cultural origin, necessitating the flexible application of translational techniques and continuous critical assessment of their decisions. In this way, translation serves as a versatile teaching tool that fosters language accuracy, analytical reasoning, interpretive judgment, and innovative problem-solving at the same time.

Additionally, the growth of learner autonomy is supported by these translation-centered methodologies. Students take more ownership of their own learning processes when they actively participate in peer collaboration, reflection, and decision-making. They gain the ability to keep an eye on their understanding, assess potential solutions, and defend decisions using linguistic, pragmatic, and cultural standards. This independence improves not only translation skills but also more general language abilities, such as spoken expression, writing fluency, and reading comprehension. Furthermore, these tasks' reflective and iterative structure promotes ongoing self-evaluation, resilience in the face of linguistic and cultural difficulties, and confidence in handling challenging language use.

This method of incorporating translation is also consistent with current theoretical stances from translation studies and applied linguistics, which highlight the dual instructional and epistemic roles of translation. From the standpoint of practical linguistics, translation tasks improve cognitive flexibility, interlingual awareness, and metalinguistic sensitivity (Cook, 2010; Schäffner, 2004). From the perspective of translation studies, translation is acknowledged as an interpretive act that is socially and culturally mediated, requiring students to engage critically with imbedded cultural meanings and negotiate epistemological distinctions (Venuti, 2012; Pym, 2010). By placing translation at the nexus of language acquisition, cultural mediation, and critical inquiry, these viewpoints collectively affirm translation as a dynamic venue for linguistic, cognitive, and intercultural development.

Peer review, project-based assignments, reflective journals, and cooperative translation exercises all work together to show that translation is much more than a technical or supporting job. Translation promotes linguistic agility, analytical reasoning, creative thinking, and cultural literacy when it is carefully planned and executed. It increases awareness of cultural and epistemic diversity, fosters critical and independent learning, and motivates students to actively interact with language as a complex system of meaning. Teachers can enhance classroom learning, boost student engagement, and develop students' ability to think critically across linguistic and cultural boundaries by positioning translation as an active, reflective, and multicultural process. By bridging the cognitive, linguistic, and intercultural domains, translation becomes a crucial tool that equips students to interact effectively, critically, and creatively in increasingly linked and multilingual global situations.

In the end, translation assignments that incorporate teamwork, projects, introspection, and cultural negotiation give students a flexible skill set that they may use

outside of the classroom. They encourage the development of sophisticated, globally competent language users by cultivating precision in language use, interpretive judgment, multicultural sensitivity, and problem-solving skills. By incorporating these techniques into language curriculum, teachers may make sure that translation is acknowledged as a dynamic, vital, and revolutionary part of modern language instruction rather than as a holdover from antiquated approach.

**Conclusion**

The long-held belief that translation is antiquated, merely mechanical, or incidental to contemporary language instruction is challenged by reevaluating translation in language courses. This study shows that translation has significant potential to improve language proficiency, promote metalinguistic awareness, and develop critical thinking abilities when it is framed as a cognitive, interpretative, and intercultural practice (Cook, 2010; García, 2009). Translation is more than just changing words from one language to another; it also entails careful meaning negotiation, context awareness, and interpretive judgment. By working on such projects, students become more aware of pragmatic issues, cultural meaning, and semantic subtleties, which promotes a deeper understanding of the target language and the social, historical, and cultural frameworks that surround it (Koskinen, 2008; Pym, 2010).

Teachers can reconcile historical traditions, like the grammar-translation technique, with modern communicative, task-based, and learner-centered approaches by carefully integrating translation into their education. Teachers give students the chance to negotiate intricate linguistic patterns, critically examine cultural norms, and meaningfully address interpretative difficulties by positioning translation as both a linguistic and epistemic tool (Venuti, 2012; Cronin, 2003). By doing this, translation becomes a fundamental, dynamic practice in language education that develops students who are not just linguistically skilled but also critically aware, culturally educated, and globally competent, rather than a secondary or accessory activity.

Furthermore, translating fosters analytical reasoning and cognitive flexibility. Translation activities assist students build transferable skills that go beyond the classroom by asking them to make interpretive decisions, reconcile cultural differences, and think about the communicative implications of linguistic choices. These skills, which are crucial for negotiating challenging multicultural and multilingual settings, include problem-solving, comparative analysis, and reflective thinking. By exposing students to a variety of worldviews, social conventions, and epistemological viewpoints that are present in texts, translation simultaneously fosters empathy and cultural sensitivity by helping them to understand the multitude of meaning and interpretation that exists across languages and cultures.

Future studies should concentrate on methodical, empirically supported applications of translation-based instructional techniques in various educational settings, examining their impacts on learner autonomy, metalinguistic development, intercultural competency, and language acquisition. It is possible to further solidify translation-oriented instruction's position as a fundamental part of modern lan-

guage curriculum by looking into its long-term effects, such as how it affects students' capacity to mediate meaning across languages and cultural settings. Teachers can fully utilize translation's pedagogical and cognitive potential by carefully including it into their lesson plans. This will equip students to communicate effectively, critically, and sympathetically in a society that is becoming more interconnected and multilingual. In the end, rethinking translation as an intercultural, reflective, and interpretative practice places it at the center of pedagogy rather than as a peripheral or mechanical activity. It demonstrates that translation is not just a tool for language transmission but also a transformative vehicle for learning, knowledge, and intercultural engagement by bridging theory and practice, cognition and culture, and language proficiency and global awareness.

**References**

Cook, G. (2010). *Translation in Language Teaching: An Argument for Reassessment*. Oxford University Press.

Cronin, M. (2003). *Translation and Globalization*. Routledge.

Jakobson, R. (2000). *On Linguistic Aspects of Translation* (reprint of 1959 essay). In L. Venuti (Ed.). *The Translation Studies Reader* (2nd edition, pp. 113–118). Routledge.

Kelly, D. (2005). *A Handbook for Translator Trainers: A Guide to Course Design for Translators, Interpreters and Bilingual Trainers*. St. Jerome Publishing.

Koskinen, K. (2008). *Translation Studies and the Role of Translation in Language Learning*. John Benjamins.

Pym, A. (2010). *Exploring Translation Theories*. Routledge.

Schäffner, C. (2004). *Translation and Interpreting in Language Teaching*. Multilingual Matters.

Venuti, L. (2012). *The Translation Studies Reader* (3rd edition). Routledge.